|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Table S1  *Party Ideology, Policy, and Salience Dimensions Measured in Chapel Hill Expert Survey* | |
| Dimension | Description |
| Left-Right (General) | Position of the party in 2014 in terms of its overall ideological stance |
| Left-Right (Economic) | Position of the party in 2014 in terms of its ideological stance on economic issues |
| GAL-TAN | Position of the party in 2014 in terms of their views on democratic freedoms and rights. “Libertarian” or “postmaterialist” parties favor expanded personal freedoms, for example, access to abortion, active euthanasia, same-sex marriage, or greater democratic participation. “Traditional” or “authoritarian” parties often reject these ideas; they value order, tradition, and stability, and believe that the government should be a firm moral authority on social and cultural issues. |
| GAL-TAN Salience | Relative salience of libertarian/traditional issues in the party’s public stance in 2014. |
| SPENDVTAX | Position on improving public services vs. reducing taxes. |
| DEREGULATION | Position on deregulation |
| REDISTRIBUTION | Position on redistribution of wealth from the rich to the poor. |
| ECON\_INTERVEN | Position on state intervention in the economy. |
| CIVLIB\_LAWORDER | Position on civil liberties vs. law and order. |
| SOCIALLIFESTYLE | Position on social lifestyle (e.g. homosexuality). |
| RELIGIOUS\_PRINCIPLE | Position on role of religious principles in politics. |
| IMMIGRATE\_POLICY | Position on immigration policy. |
| MULTICULTURALISM | Position on integration of immigrants and asylum seekers (multiculturalism vs. assimilation). |
| URBAN\_RURAL | Position on urban vs. rural interests. |
| ENVIRONMENT | Position towards the environment. |
| REGIONS | Position on political decentralization to regions/localities. |
| INTERNATIONAL\_SECURITY | Position towards international security and peacekeeping  missions. |
| ETHNIC\_MINORITIES | Position towards ethnic minorities. |
| NATIONALISM | Position towards nationalism. |
| ANTIELITE\_SALIENCE | Salience of anti-establishment and anti-elite rhetoric. |
| CORRUPT\_SALIENCE | Salience of reducing political corruption. |
| *Note.* All dimensions measured on a scale from 0 to 10. See <https://www.chesdata.eu/> for scale anchors. | |

| Table S2  *Descriptive Statistics and Intercorrelations of Study Variables* | | | | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Variable | *M* | *SD* | 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. | 7. |
| 1. Gender | 0.00 | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Age | 52.52 | 17.13 | .04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Ethnic minority | -0.48 | 0.18 | -.01 | -.08 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Left-Right Gen. | 5.47 | 2.05 | -.03 | .03 | -.07 |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Left-Right Econ. | 5.19 | 1.99 | -.02 | .04 | -.07 | .84 |  |  |  |
| 6. GAL-TAN | 5.10 | 2.24 | -.03 | .09 | -.05 | .82 | .57 |  |  |
| 7. GAL-TAN salience | 5.77 | 1.36 | .00 | -.06 | -.04 | .23 | -.01 | .18 |  |
| 8. Childlessness | 0.26 | 0.44 | -.07 | -.36 | .01 | -.01 | -.02 | -.04 | .04 |
| *Note.* All numbers in the table calculated with weights. Gender coded -0.5 men, 0.5 women. Ethnic minority coded -0.5 not minority, 0.5 minority. All correlations > |.01| statistically significant, *p* < .05. | | | | | | | | | |

| Table S3  *Parameter Estimates from Binomial Logit Multilevel Mixed Effects Models Predicting Childlessness* | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | *Covariates only* | | |  | *Left-Right General* | | |  | *Left-Right Economic* | | |  | *GAL-TAN* | | |  | *GAL-TAN × Salience* | | |
| Parameter | Est | *SE* | *p* |  | Est | *SE* | *p* |  | Est | *SE* | *p* |  | Est | *SE* | *p* |  | Est | *SE* | *p* |
| *Fixed* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | -1.29 | 0.10 | < .001 |  | -1.29 | 0.10 | < .001 |  | -1.29 | 0.10 | < .001 |  | -1.29 | 0.10 | < .001 |  | -1.28 | 0.10 | < .001 |
| Gender | -0.31 | 0.04 | < .001 |  | -0.31 | 0.04 | < .001 |  | -0.31 | 0.04 | < .001 |  | -0.31 | 0.04 | < .001 |  | -0.31 | 0.04 | < .001 |
| Age | -0.55 | 0.01 | < .001 |  | -0.55 | 0.01 | < .001 |  | -0.55 | 0.01 | < .001 |  | -0.55 | 0.01 | < .001 |  | -0.55 | 0.01 | < .001 |
| Ethnic minority | -0.28 | 0.10 | .007 |  | -0.28 | 0.10 | .006 |  | -0.29 | 0.10 | .006 |  | -0.29 | 0.10 | .006 |  | -0.28 | 0.10 | .007 |
| Left-Right Gen. |  |  |  |  | -0.01 | 0.02 | .647 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Left-Right Econ. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -0.01 | 0.02 | .527 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GAL-TAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -0.04 | 0.02 | .125 |  | 0.01 | 0.03 | .837 |
| Salience |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.03 | 0.04 | .429 |
| GAL-TAN × Salience |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -0.12 | 0.04 | .003 |
| *Random* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | 0.34 |  |  |  | 0.34 |  |  |  | 0.34 |  |  |  | 0.34 |  |  |  | 0.33 |  |  |
| Salience |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.04 |  |  |
| *Note.* Gender coded: -0.5 men and 0.5 women. Age divided by ten. Ethnic minority coded: -0.5 not ethnic minority, 0.5 ethnic minority. Only random from models with non-singular random effect structures are presented in the table. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Table S4  *Parameter Estimates from Binomial Logit Multilevel Mixed Effects Models Predicting Childlessness with Left-Right General* | | | | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | *Main effect* | | | *Gender moderation* | | | *Ethnic minority moderation* | | |
| Parameter | Est | *SE* | *p* | Est | *SE* | *p* | Est | *SE* | *p* |
| *Fixed* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | -1.29 | 0.10 | < .001 | -1.29 | 0.10 | < .001 | -1.32 | 0.10 | < .001 |
| Gender | -0.31 | 0.04 | < .001 | -0.29 | 0.06 | < .001 | -0.31 | 0.04 | < .001 |
| Age | -0.55 | 0.01 | < .001 | -0.56 | 0.01 | < .001 | -0.55 | 0.01 | < .001 |
| Ethnic minority | -0.28 | 0.10 | .006 | -0.28 | 0.10 | .007 | -0.34 | 0.11 | .003 |
| Left-Right Gen. | -0.01 | 0.02 | .647 | -0.01 | 0.02 | .614 | -0.09 | 0.06 | .153 |
| Gender × Left-Right Gen. |  |  |  | 0.02 | 0.06 | .761 |  |  |  |
| Ethnic Minority × Left-Right Gen. |  |  |  |  |  |  | -0.18 | 0.13 | .175 |
| *Random* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | 0.34 |  |  | 0.34 |  |  | 0.34 |  |  |
| Gender |  |  |  | 0.10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gender × Left-Right Gen. |  |  |  | 0.12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Note.* Gender coded: -0.5 men and 0.5 women. Age divided by ten. Ethnic minority coded: -0.5 not ethnic minority, 0.5 ethnic minority. Only models with the most complex and non-singular random effect structure are presented. | | | | | | | | | |

| Table S5 (Continues on the next page)  *Parameter Estimates from Binomial Logit Multilevel Mixed Effects Models Predicting Childlessness with Left-Right Economic* | | | | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | *Main effect* | | | *Gender moderation* | | | *Ethnic minority moderation* | | |
| Parameter | Est | *SE* | *p* | Est | *SE* | *p* | Est | *SE* | *p* |
| *Fixed* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | -1.29 | 0.10 | < .001 | -1.29 | 0.10 | < .001 | -1.28 | 0.10 | < .001 |
| Gender | -0.31 | 0.04 | < .001 | -0.29 | 0.06 | < .001 | -0.31 | 0.04 | < .001 |
| Age | -0.55 | 0.01 | < .001 | -0.56 | 0.01 | < .001 | -0.55 | 0.01 | < .001 |
| Ethnic minority | -0.29 | 0.10 | .006 | -0.29 | 0.10 | .006 | -0.28 | 0.11 | .014 |
| Left-Right Econ. | -0.01 | 0.02 | .527 | -0.01 | 0.02 | .570 | -0.00 | 0.06 | .989 |
| Gender × Left-Right Econ. |  |  |  | 0.08 | 0.06 | .188 |  |  |  |
| Ethnic Minority × Left-Right Econ. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.03 | 0.12 | .810 |
| *Random* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | 0.34 |  |  | 0.34 |  |  | 0.34 |  |  |
| Gender |  |  |  | 0.10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gender × Left-Right Econ. |  |  |  | 0.08 |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Note.* Gender coded: -0.5 men and 0.5 women. Age divided by ten. Ethnic minority coded: -0.5 not ethnic minority, 0.5 ethnic minority. Only models with the most complex and non-singular random effect structure are presented. | | | | | | | | | |

| Table S5 (Continued from the previous page)  *Parameter Estimates from Binomial Logit Multilevel Mixed Effects Models Predicting Childlessness with Left-Right Economic* | | | | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | *Salience moderation* | | |  | | |  | | |
| Parameter | Est | *SE* | *p* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Fixed* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | -1.30 | 0.10 | < .001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gender | -0.31 | 0.04 | < .001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | -0.55 | 0.01 | < .001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ethnic minority | -0.28 | 0.10 | .007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Left-Right Econ. | -0.02 | 0.02 | .446 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salience | 0.01 | 0.04 | .719 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Left-Right Econ. × Salience | 0.10 | 0.04 | .008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Random* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | 0.34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salience | 0.04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Note.* Gender coded: -0.5 men and 0.5 women. Age divided by ten. Ethnic minority coded: -0.5 not ethnic minority, 0.5 ethnic minority. Only models with the most complex and non-singular random effect structure are presented. | | | | | | | | | |

| Table S6  *Parameter Estimates from Binomial Logit Multilevel Mixed Effects Models Predicting Childlessness with GAL-TAN* | | | | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | *Main effect* | | | *Gender moderation* | | | *Ethnic minority moderation* | | |
| Parameter | Est | *SE* | *p* | Est | *SE* | *p* | Est | *SE* | *p* |
| *Fixed* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | -1.29 | 0.10 | < .001 | -1.29 | 0.10 | < .001 | -1.32 | 0.10 | < .001 |
| Gender | -0.31 | 0.04 | < .001 | -0.29 | 0.06 | < .001 | -0.31 | 0.04 | < .001 |
| Age | -0.55 | 0.01 | < .001 | -0.55 | 0.01 | < .001 | -0.55 | 0.01 | < .001 |
| Ethnic minority | -0.29 | 0.10 | .006 | -0.28 | 0.10 | .006 | -0.35 | 0.11 | .002 |
| GAL-TAN | -0.04 | 0.02 | .125 | -0.04 | 0.02 | .115 | -0.15 | 0.07 | .042 |
| Gender × GAL-TAN |  |  |  | -0.03 | 0.07 | .681 |  |  |  |
| Ethnic Minority × GAL-TAN |  |  |  |  |  |  | -0.24 | 0.15 | .102 |
| *Random* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | 0.34 |  |  | 0.34 |  |  | 0.34 |  |  |
| Gender |  |  |  | 0.10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gender × GAL-TAN |  |  |  | 0.14 |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Note.* Gender coded: -0.5 men and 0.5 women. Age divided by ten. Ethnic minority coded: -0.5 not ethnic minority, 0.5 ethnic minority. Only models with the most complex and non-singular random effect structure are presented. | | | | | | | | | |

| Table S7  *Parameter Estimates from Binomial Logit Multilevel Mixed Effects Models Predicting Childlessness with position on improving public services vs. reducing taxes (SPENDVTAX)* | | | | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | *Main effect* | | | *Gender moderation* | | | *Ethnic minority moderation* | | |
| Parameter | Est | *SE* | *p* | Est | *SE* | *p* | Est | *SE* | *p* |
| *Fixed* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | -1.29 | 0.10 | < .001 | -1.29 | 0.10 | < .001 | -1.29 | 0.10 | < .001 |
| Gender | -0.31 | 0.04 | < .001 | -0.29 | 0.06 | < .001 | -0.31 | 0.04 | < .001 |
| Age | -0.55 | 0.01 | < .001 | -0.56 | 0.01 | < .001 | -0.55 | 0.01 | < .001 |
| Ethnic minority | -0.28 | 0.10 | .007 | -0.29 | 0.10 | .006 | -0.29 | 0.11 | .010 |
| SPENDVTAX | -0.01 | 0.02 | .651 | -0.01 | 0.02 | .667 | -0.01 | 0.06 | .829 |
| Gender × SPENDVTAX |  |  |  | 0.07 | 0.06 | .230 |  |  |  |
| Ethnic Minority × SPENDVTAX |  |  |  |  |  |  | -0.01 | 0.11 | .958 |
| *Random* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | 0.34 |  |  | 0.34 |  |  | 0.34 |  |  |
| Gender |  |  |  | 0.10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gender × SPENDVTAX |  |  |  | 0.09 |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Note.* Gender coded: -0.5 men and 0.5 women. Age divided by ten. Ethnic minority coded: -0.5 not ethnic minority, 0.5 ethnic minority. Only models with the most complex and non-singular random effect structure are presented. | | | | | | | | | |

| Table S8 (Continues on the next page)  *Parameter Estimates from Binomial Logit Multilevel Mixed Effects Models Predicting Childlessness with position on deregulation (DEREGULATION)* | | | | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | *Main effect* | | | *Gender moderation* | | | *Ethnic minority moderation* | | |
| Parameter | Est | *SE* | *p* | Est | *SE* | *p* | Est | *SE* | *p* |
| *Fixed* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | -1.28 | 0.10 | < .001 | -1.28 | 0.10 | < .001 | -1.27 | 0.10 | < .001 |
| Gender | -0.31 | 0.04 | < .001 | -0.29 | 0.06 | < .001 | -0.31 | 0.04 | < .001 |
| Age | -0.55 | 0.01 | < .001 | -0.55 | 0.01 | < .001 | -0.55 | 0.01 | < .001 |
| Ethnic minority | -0.28 | 0.10 | .008 | -0.28 | 0.10 | .007 | -0.27 | 0.11 | .016 |
| DEREGULATION | 0.01 | 0.03 | .741 | 0.01 | 0.03 | .678 | 0.02 | 0.07 | .738 |
| Gender × DEREGULATION |  |  |  | 0.09 | 0.06 | .101 |  |  |  |
| Ethnic Minority × DEREGULATION |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.03 | 0.13 | .831 |
| *Random* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | 0.33 |  |  | 0.33 |  |  | 0.33 |  |  |
| DEREGULATION | 0.06 |  |  | 0.06 |  |  | 0.06 |  |  |
| Gender |  |  |  | 0.10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gender × DEREGULATION |  |  |  | 0.08 |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Note.* Gender coded: -0.5 men and 0.5 women. Age divided by ten. Ethnic minority coded: -0.5 not ethnic minority, 0.5 ethnic minority. Only models with the most complex and non-singular random effect structure are presented. | | | | | | | | | |

| Table S8 (Continued from the previous page)  *Parameter Estimates from Binomial Logit Multilevel Mixed Effects Models Predicting Childlessness with position on deregulation (DEREGULATION)* | | | | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | *West vs. Post-Communist moderation* | | |  | | |  | | |
| Parameter | Est | *SE* | *p* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Fixed* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | -1.35 | 0.11 | < .001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gender | -0.31 | 0.04 | < .001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | -0.55 | 0.01 | < .001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ethnic minority | -0.28 | 0.10 | .008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DEREGULATION | 0.01 | 0.05 | .829 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| West vs. Post-communist | -0.28 | 0.20 | .169 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| West vs. Post-communist × DEREGULATION | 0.01 | 0.09 | .877 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Random* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | 0.33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DEREGULATION | 0.06 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Note.* Gender coded: -0.5 men and 0.5 women. Age divided by ten. Ethnic minority coded: -0.5 not ethnic minority, 0.5 ethnic minority. West vs. post-communist coded: -0.5 West-Europe, 0.5 post-communist. Only models with the most complex and non-singular random effect structure are presented. | | | | | | | | | |

| Table S9  *Parameter Estimates from Binomial Logit Multilevel Mixed Effects Models Predicting Childlessness with position on redistribution of wealth from the rich to the poor (REDISTRIBUTION)* | | | | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | *Main effect* | | | *Gender moderation* | | | *Ethnic minority moderation* | | |
| Parameter | Est | *SE* | *p* | Est | *SE* | *p* | Est | *SE* | *p* |
| *Fixed* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | -1.29 | 0.10 | < .001 | -1.29 | 0.10 | < .001 | -1.28 | 0.10 | < .001 |
| Gender | -0.31 | 0.04 | < .001 | -0.29 | 0.06 | < .001 | -0.31 | 0.04 | < .001 |
| Age | -0.55 | 0.01 | < .001 | -0.55 | 0.01 | < .001 | -0.55 | 0.01 | < .001 |
| Ethnic minority | -0.28 | 0.10 | .007 | -0.28 | 0.10 | .007 | -0.27 | 0.11 | .015 |
| REDISTRIBUTION | -0.00 | 0.03 | .961 | 0.00 | 0.03 | .988 | 0.01 | 0.06 | .816 |
| Gender × REDISTRIBUTION |  |  |  | 0.08 | 0.06 | .173 |  |  |  |
| Ethnic Minority × REDISTRIBUTION |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.03 | 0.12 | .783 |
| *Random* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | 0.34 |  |  | 0.34 |  |  | 0.34 |  |  |
| REDISTRIBUTION | 0.03 |  |  | 0.03 |  |  | 0.03 |  |  |
| Gender |  |  |  | 0.10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gender × REDISTRIBUTION |  |  |  | 0.09 |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Note.* Gender coded: -0.5 men and 0.5 women. Age divided by ten. Ethnic minority coded: -0.5 not ethnic minority, 0.5 ethnic minority. Only models with the most complex and non-singular random effect structure are presented. | | | | | | | | | |

| Table S10  *Parameter Estimates from Binomial Logit Multilevel Mixed Effects Models Predicting Childlessness with position on position on state intervention in the economy (ECON\_INTERVEN)* | | | | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | *Main effect* | | | *Gender moderation* | | | *Ethnic minority moderation* | | |
| Parameter | Est | *SE* | *p* | Est | *SE* | *p* | Est | *SE* | *p* |
| *Fixed* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | -1.29 | 0.10 | < .001 | -1.29 | 0.10 | < .001 | -1.27 | 0.10 | < .001 |
| Gender | -0.31 | 0.04 | < .001 | -0.29 | 0.06 | < .001 | -0.31 | 0.04 | < .001 |
| Age | -0.55 | 0.01 | < .001 | -0.55 | 0.01 | < .001 | -0.55 | 0.01 | < .001 |
| Ethnic minority | -0.29 | 0.10 | .006 | -0.29 | 0.10 | .006 | -0.25 | 0.11 | .024 |
| ECON\_INTERVEN | -0.02 | 0.02 | .476 | -0.02 | 0.02 | .505 | 0.03 | 0.06 | .639 |
| Gender × ECON\_INTERVEN |  |  |  | 0.08 | 0.06 | .193 |  |  |  |
| Ethnic Minority × ECON\_INTERVEN |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.10 | 0.13 | .417 |
| *Random* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | 0.34 |  |  | 0.34 |  |  | 0.34 |  |  |
| ECON\_INTERVEN | 0.02 |  |  | 0.01 |  |  | 0.01 |  |  |
| Gender |  |  |  | 0.10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gender × ECON\_INTERVEN |  |  |  | 0.07 |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Note.* Gender coded: -0.5 men and 0.5 women. Age divided by ten. Ethnic minority coded: -0.5 not ethnic minority, 0.5 ethnic minority. Only models with the most complex and non-singular random effect structure are presented. | | | | | | | | | |

| Table S11  *Parameter Estimates from Binomial Logit Multilevel Mixed Effects Models Predicting Childlessness with position on civil liberties vs. law and order (CIVLIB\_LAWORDER)* | | | | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | *Main effect* | | | *Gender moderation* | | | *Ethnic minority moderation* | | |
| Parameter | Est | *SE* | *p* | Est | *SE* | *p* | Est | *SE* | *p* |
| *Fixed* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | -1.29 | 0.10 | < .001 | -1.29 | 0.10 | < .001 | -1.34 | 0.10 | < .001 |
| Gender | -0.31 | 0.04 | < .001 | -0.29 | 0.06 | < .001 | -0.31 | 0.04 | < .001 |
| Age | -0.55 | 0.01 | < .001 | -0.55 | 0.01 | < .001 | -0.55 | 0.01 | < .001 |
| Ethnic minority | -0.29 | 0.10 | .006 | -0.28 | 0.10 | .007 | -0.38 | 0.11 | .001 |
| CIVLIB\_LAWORDER | -0.03 | 0.02 | .227 | -0.03 | 0.02 | .230 | -0.18 | 0.07 | .006 |
| Gender × CIVLIB\_LAWORDER |  |  |  | -0.00 | 0.07 | .970 |  |  |  |
| Ethnic Minority × CIVLIB\_LAWORDER |  |  |  |  |  |  | -0.33 | 0.13 | .014 |
| *Random* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | 0.34 |  |  | 0.34 |  |  | 0.34 |  |  |
| CIVLIB\_LAWORDER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gender |  |  |  | 0.10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gender × CIVLIB\_LAWORDER |  |  |  | 0.14 |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Note.* Gender coded: -0.5 men and 0.5 women. Age divided by ten. Ethnic minority coded: -0.5 not ethnic minority, 0.5 ethnic minority. Only models with the most complex and non-singular random effect structure are presented. | | | | | | | | | |

| Table S12  *Parameter Estimates from Binomial Logit Multilevel Mixed Effects Models Predicting Childlessness with position on social lifestyle (e.g. homosexuality) (SOCIALLIFESTYLE)* | | | | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | *Main effect* | | | *Gender moderation* | | | *Ethnic minority moderation* | | |
| Parameter | Est | *SE* | *p* | Est | *SE* | *p* | Est | *SE* | *p* |
| *Fixed* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | -1.29 | 0.10 | < .001 | -1.29 | 0.10 | < .001 | -1.32 | 0.10 | < .001 |
| Gender | -0.31 | 0.04 | < .001 | -0.29 | 0.06 | < .001 | -0.31 | 0.04 | < .001 |
| Age | -0.55 | 0.01 | < .001 | -0.55 | 0.01 | < .001 | -0.55 | 0.01 | < .001 |
| Ethnic minority | -0.29 | 0.10 | .006 | -0.28 | 0.10 | .007 | -0.35 | 0.11 | .002 |
| SOCIALLIFESTYLE | -0.03 | 0.03 | .230 | -0.03 | 0.03 | .199 | -0.16 | 0.08 | .048 |
| Gender × SOCIALLIFESTYLE |  |  |  | -0.03 | 0.08 | .668 |  |  |  |
| Ethnic Minority × SOCIALLIFESTYLE |  |  |  |  |  |  | -0.27 | 0.16 | .093 |
| *Random* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | 0.34 |  |  | 0.34 |  |  | 0.34 |  |  |
| SOCIALLIFESTYLE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gender |  |  |  | 0.10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gender × SOCIALLIFESTYLE |  |  |  | 0.16 |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Note.* Gender coded: -0.5 men and 0.5 women. Age divided by ten. Ethnic minority coded: -0.5 not ethnic minority, 0.5 ethnic minority. Only models with the most complex and non-singular random effect structure are presented. | | | | | | | | | |

| Table S13  *Parameter Estimates from Binomial Logit Multilevel Mixed Effects Models Predicting Childlessness with position on role of religious principles in politics (RELIGIOUS\_PRINCIPLE)* | | | | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | *Main effect* | | | *Gender moderation* | | | *Ethnic minority moderation* | | |
| Parameter | Est | *SE* | *p* | Est | *SE* | *p* | Est | *SE* | *p* |
| *Fixed* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | -1.29 | 0.10 | < .001 | -1.29 | 0.10 | < .001 | -1.31 | 0.10 | < .001 |
| Gender | -0.31 | 0.04 | < .001 | -0.29 | 0.06 | < .001 | -0.31 | 0.04 | < .001 |
| Age | -0.55 | 0.01 | < .001 | -0.55 | 0.01 | < .001 | -0.55 | 0.01 | < .001 |
| Ethnic minority | -0.28 | 0.10 | .007 | -0.28 | 0.10 | .007 | -0.33 | 0.11 | .002 |
| RELIGIOUS\_PRINCIPLE | -0.01 | 0.03 | .806 | -0.01 | 0.03 | .804 | -0.13 | 0.08 | .112 |
| Gender × RELIGIOUS\_PRINCIPLE |  |  |  | -0.00 | 0.06 | .965 |  |  |  |
| Ethnic Minority × RELIGIOUS\_PRINCIPLE |  |  |  |  |  |  | -0.27 | 0.17 | .108 |
| *Random* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | 0.34 |  |  | 0.34 |  |  | 0.34 |  |  |
| RELIGIOUS\_PRINCIPLE | 0.03 |  |  | 0.03 |  |  | 0.04 |  |  |
| Gender |  |  |  | 0.10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gender × RELIGIOUS\_PRINCIPLE |  |  |  | 0.08 |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Note.* Gender coded: -0.5 men and 0.5 women. Age divided by ten. Ethnic minority coded: -0.5 not ethnic minority, 0.5 ethnic minority. Only models with the most complex and non-singular random effect structure are presented. | | | | | | | | | |

| Table S14  *Parameter Estimates from Binomial Logit Multilevel Mixed Effects Models Predicting Childlessness with position on immigration policy (IMMIGRATE\_POLICY)* | | | | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | *Main effect* | | | *Gender moderation* | | | *Ethnic minority moderation* | | |
| Parameter | Est | *SE* | *p* | Est | *SE* | *p* | Est | *SE* | *p* |
| *Fixed* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | -1.29 | 0.10 | < .001 | -1.29 | 0.10 | < .001 | -1.33 | 0.10 | < .001 |
| Gender | -0.31 | 0.04 | < .001 | -0.29 | 0.06 | < .001 | -0.31 | 0.04 | < .001 |
| Age | -0.55 | 0.01 | < .001 | -0.55 | 0.01 | < .001 | -0.55 | 0.01 | < .001 |
| Ethnic minority | -0.29 | 0.10 | .006 | -0.28 | 0.10 | .006 | -0.37 | 0.11 | .001 |
| IMMIGRATE\_POLICY | -0.04 | 0.02 | .135 | -0.04 | 0.02 | .134 | -0.19 | 0.07 | .010 |
| Gender × IMMIGRATE\_POLICY |  |  |  | -0.00 | 0.07 | .961 |  |  |  |
| Ethnic Minority × IMMIGRATE\_POLICY |  |  |  |  |  |  | -0.32 | 0.15 | .027 |
| *Random* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | 0.34 |  |  | 0.34 |  |  | 0.34 |  |  |
| IMMIGRATE\_POLICY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gender |  |  |  | 0.10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gender × IMMIGRATE\_POLICY |  |  |  | 0.14 |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Note.* Gender coded: -0.5 men and 0.5 women. Age divided by ten. Ethnic minority coded: -0.5 not ethnic minority, 0.5 ethnic minority. Only models with the most complex and non-singular random effect structure are presented. | | | | | | | | | |

| Table S15  *Parameter Estimates from Binomial Logit Multilevel Mixed Effects Models Predicting Childlessness with position on integration of immigrants and asylum seekers (multiculturalism vs. assimilation) (MULTICULTURALISM)* | | | | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | *Main effect* | | | *Gender moderation* | | | *Ethnic minority moderation* | | |
| Parameter | Est | *SE* | *p* | Est | *SE* | *p* | Est | *SE* | *p* |
| *Fixed* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | -1.29 | 0.10 | < .001 | -1.29 | 0.10 | < .001 | -1.32 | 0.10 | < .001 |
| Gender | -0.31 | 0.04 | < .001 | -0.29 | 0.06 | < .001 | -0.31 | 0.04 | < .001 |
| Age | -0.55 | 0.01 | < .001 | -0.55 | 0.01 | < .001 | -0.55 | 0.01 | < .001 |
| Ethnic minority | -0.28 | 0.10 | .006 | -0.28 | 0.10 | .007 | -0.35 | 0.11 | .002 |
| MULTICULTURALISM | -0.02 | 0.02 | .415 | -0.02 | 0.02 | .366 | -0.11 | 0.07 | .102 |
| Gender × MULTICULTURALISM |  |  |  | -0.01 | 0.07 | .837 |  |  |  |
| Ethnic Minority × MULTICULTURALISM |  |  |  |  |  |  | -0.19 | 0.13 | .147 |
| *Random* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | 0.34 |  |  | 0.34 |  |  | 0.34 |  |  |
| MULTICULTURALISM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gender |  |  |  | 0.10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gender × MULTICULTURALISM |  |  |  | 0.14 |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Note.* Gender coded: -0.5 men and 0.5 women. Age divided by ten. Ethnic minority coded: -0.5 not ethnic minority, 0.5 ethnic minority. Only models with the most complex and non-singular random effect structure are presented. | | | | | | | | | |

| Table S16  *Parameter Estimates from Binomial Logit Multilevel Mixed Effects Models Predicting Childlessness with position position on urban vs. rural interests (URBAN\_RURAL)* | | | | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | *Main effect* | | | *Gender moderation* | | | *Ethnic minority moderation* | | |
| Parameter | Est | *SE* | *p* | Est | *SE* | *p* | Est | *SE* | *p* |
| *Fixed* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | -1.29 | 0.10 | < .001 | -1.29 | 0.10 | < .001 | -1.28 | 0.10 | < .001 |
| Gender | -0.31 | 0.04 | < .001 | -0.31 | 0.04 | < .001 | -0.31 | 0.04 | < .001 |
| Age | -0.56 | 0.01 | < .001 | -0.56 | 0.01 | < .001 | -0.56 | 0.01 | < .001 |
| Ethnic minority | -0.28 | 0.10 | .008 | -0.28 | 0.10 | .007 | -0.27 | 0.11 | .014 |
| URBAN\_RURAL | 0.01 | 0.02 | .712 | 0.01 | 0.02 | .758 | 0.02 | 0.07 | .810 |
| Gender × URBAN\_RURAL |  |  |  | -0.04 | 0.05 | .453 |  |  |  |
| Ethnic Minority × URBAN\_RURAL |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.02 | 0.14 | .905 |
| *Random* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | 0.34 |  |  | 0.34 |  |  | 0.34 |  |  |
| URBAN\_RURAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gender × URBAN\_RURAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Note.* Gender coded: -0.5 men and 0.5 women. Age divided by ten. Ethnic minority coded: -0.5 not ethnic minority, 0.5 ethnic minority. Only models with the most complex and non-singular random effect structure are presented. | | | | | | | | | |

| Table S17  *Parameter Estimates from Binomial Logit Multilevel Mixed Effects Models Predicting Childlessness with position position towards the environment (ENVIRONMENT)* | | | | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | *Main effect* | | | *Gender moderation* | | | *Ethnic minority moderation* | | |
| Parameter | Est | *SE* | *p* | Est | *SE* | *p* | Est | *SE* | *p* |
| *Fixed* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | -1.29 | 0.10 | < .001 | -1.29 | 0.10 | < .001 | -1.34 | 0.10 | < .001 |
| Gender | -0.31 | 0.04 | < .001 | -0.29 | 0.06 | < .001 | -0.31 | 0.04 | < .001 |
| Age | -0.55 | 0.01 | < .001 | -0.55 | 0.01 | < .001 | -0.55 | 0.01 | < .001 |
| Ethnic minority | -0.29 | 0.10 | .006 | -0.28 | 0.10 | .006 | -0.38 | 0.11 | .001 |
| ENVIRONMENT | -0.04 | 0.02 | .117 | -0.04 | 0.02 | .109 | -0.19 | 0.07 | .003 |
| Gender × ENVIRONMENT |  |  |  | -0.03 | 0.06 | .586 |  |  |  |
| Ethnic Minority × ENVIRONMENT |  |  |  |  |  |  | -0.33 | 0.13 | .010 |
| *Random* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | 0.34 |  |  | 0.34 |  |  | 0.34 |  |  |
| ENVIRONMENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gender |  |  |  | 0.10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gender × ENVIRONMENT |  |  |  | 0.07 |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Note.* Gender coded: -0.5 men and 0.5 women. Age divided by ten. Ethnic minority coded: -0.5 not ethnic minority, 0.5 ethnic minority. Only models with the most complex and non-singular random effect structure are presented. | | | | | | | | | |

| Table S18  *Parameter Estimates from Binomial Logit Multilevel Mixed Effects Models Predicting Childlessness with position on political decentralization to regions/localities (REGIONS)* | | | | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | *Main effect* | | | *Gender moderation* | | | *Ethnic minority moderation* | | |
| Parameter | Est | *SE* | *p* | Est | *SE* | *p* | Est | *SE* | *p* |
| *Fixed* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | -1.29 | 0.10 | < .001 | -1.29 | 0.10 | < .001 | -1.30 | 0.10 | < .001 |
| Gender | -0.31 | 0.04 | < .001 | -0.29 | 0.06 | < .001 | -0.31 | 0.04 | < .001 |
| Age | -0.55 | 0.01 | < .001 | -0.55 | 0.01 | < .001 | -0.55 | 0.01 | < .001 |
| Ethnic minority | -0.28 | 0.10 | .007 | -0.28 | 0.10 | .007 | -0.31 | 0.11 | .003 |
| REGIONS | -0.04 | 0.02 | .099 | -0.03 | 0.02 | .148 | -0.30 | 0.08 | < .001 |
| Gender × REGIONS |  |  |  | 0.02 | 0.07 | .798 |  |  |  |
| Ethnic Minority × REGIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  | -0.55 | 0.16 | .001 |
| *Random* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | 0.34 |  |  | 0.34 |  |  | 0.34 |  |  |
| REGIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gender |  |  |  | 0.10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gender × REGIONS |  |  |  | 0.09 |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Note.* Gender coded: -0.5 men and 0.5 women. Age divided by ten. Ethnic minority coded: -0.5 not ethnic minority, 0.5 ethnic minority. Only models with the most complex and non-singular random effect structure are presented. | | | | | | | | | |

| Table S19 (Continues on the next page)  *Parameter Estimates from Binomial Logit Multilevel Mixed Effects Models Predicting Childlessness with position towards international security and peacekeeping missions (INTERNATIONAL\_SECURITY)* | | | | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | *Main effect* | | | *Gender moderation* | | | *Ethnic minority moderation* | | |
| Parameter | Est | *SE* | *p* | Est | *SE* | *p* | Est | *SE* | *p* |
| *Fixed* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | -1.29 | 0.10 | < .001 | -1.29 | 0.10 | < .001 | -1.31 | 0.10 | < .001 |
| Gender | -0.31 | 0.04 | < .001 | -0.30 | 0.06 | < .001 | -0.30 | 0.04 | < .001 |
| Age | -0.55 | 0.01 | < .001 | -0.55 | 0.01 | < .001 | -0.55 | 0.01 | < .001 |
| Ethnic minority | -0.27 | 0.10 | .008 | -0.28 | 0.10 | .008 | -0.31 | 0.11 | .003 |
| INTERNATIONAL\_SECURITY | 0.06 | 0.04 | .147 | 0.06 | 0.04 | .179 | 0.24 | 0.09 | .007 |
| Gender × INTERNATIONAL\_SECURITY |  |  |  | -0.05 | 0.08 | .557 |  |  |  |
| Ethnic Minority × INTERNATIONAL\_SECURITY |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.39 | 0.17 | .020 |
| *Random* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | 0.34 |  |  | 0.34 |  |  | 0.34 |  |  |
| INTERNATIONAL\_SECURITY | 0.09 |  |  | 0.08 |  |  | 0.10 |  |  |
| Gender |  |  |  | 0.09 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gender × INTERNATIONAL\_SECURITY |  |  |  | 0.08 |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Note.* Gender coded: -0.5 men and 0.5 women. Age divided by ten. Ethnic minority coded: -0.5 not ethnic minority, 0.5 ethnic minority. Only models with the most complex and non-singular random effect structure are presented. | | | | | | | | | |

| Table S19 (Continued from the next page)  *Parameter Estimates from Binomial Logit Multilevel Mixed Effects Models Predicting Childlessness with position towards international security and peacekeeping missions (INTERNATIONAL\_SECURITY)* | | | | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | *West vs. post-communist moderation* | | |  | | |  | | |
| Parameter | Est | *SE* | *p* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Fixed* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | -1.37 | 0.11 | < .001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gender | -0.30 | 0.04 | < .001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | -0.55 | 0.01 | < .001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ethnic minority | -0.27 | 0.10 | .009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| INTERNATIONAL\_SECURITY | 0.16 | 0.05 | .001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| West vs. post-communist | -0.29 | 0.20 | .149 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| West vs. post-communist × INTERNATIONAL\_SECURITY | 0.31 | 0.10 | .002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Random* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | 0.33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| INTERNATIONAL\_SECURITY | 0.04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Note.* Gender coded: -0.5 men and 0.5 women. Age divided by ten. Ethnic minority coded: -0.5 not ethnic minority, 0.5 ethnic minority. West vs. post-communist coded: -0.5 West-Europe, 0.5 post-communist. Only models with the most complex and non-singular random effect structure are presented. | | | | | | | | | |

| Table S20  *Parameter Estimates from Binomial Logit Multilevel Mixed Effects Models Predicting Childlessness with position towards ethnic minorities (ETHNIC\_MINORITIES)* | | | | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | *Main effect* | | | *Gender moderation* | | | *Ethnic minority moderation* | | |
| Parameter | Est | *SE* | *p* | Est | *SE* | *p* | Est | *SE* | *p* |
| *Fixed* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | -1.29 | 0.10 | < .001 | -1.29 | 0.10 | < .001 | -1.35 | 0.10 | < .001 |
| Gender | -0.31 | 0.04 | < .001 | -0.29 | 0.06 | < .001 | -0.31 | 0.04 | < .001 |
| Age | -0.55 | 0.01 | < .001 | -0.55 | 0.01 | < .001 | -0.55 | 0.01 | < .001 |
| Ethnic minority | -0.29 | 0.10 | .006 | -0.28 | 0.10 | .006 | -0.40 | 0.12 | .001 |
| ETHNIC\_MINORITIES | -0.03 | 0.03 | .205 | -0.03 | 0.03 | .190 | -0.23 | 0.08 | .007 |
| Gender × ETHNIC\_MINORITIES |  |  |  | -0.03 | 0.08 | .697 |  |  |  |
| Ethnic Minority × ETHNIC\_MINORITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  | -0.41 | 0.17 | .014 |
| *Random* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | 0.34 |  |  | 0.34 |  |  | 0.34 |  |  |
| ETHNIC\_MINORITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gender |  |  |  | 0.10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gender × ETHNIC\_MINORITIES |  |  |  | 0.15 |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Note.* Gender coded: -0.5 men and 0.5 women. Age divided by ten. Ethnic minority coded: -0.5 not ethnic minority, 0.5 ethnic minority. Only models with the most complex and non-singular random effect structure are presented. | | | | | | | | | |

| Table S21  *Parameter Estimates from Binomial Logit Multilevel Mixed Effects Models Predicting Childlessness with position towards nationalism (NATIONALISM)* | | | | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | *Main effect* | | | *Gender moderation* | | | *Ethnic minority moderation* | | |
| Parameter | Est | *SE* | *p* | Est | *SE* | *p* | Est | *SE* | *p* |
| *Fixed* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | -1.29 | 0.10 | < .001 | -1.29 | 0.10 | < .001 | -1.33 | 0.10 | < .001 |
| Gender | -0.31 | 0.04 | < .001 | -0.29 | 0.06 | < .001 | -0.31 | 0.04 | < .001 |
| Age | -0.55 | 0.01 | < .001 | -0.56 | 0.01 | < .001 | -0.56 | 0.01 | < .001 |
| Ethnic minority | -0.28 | 0.10 | .007 | -0.28 | 0.10 | .008 | -0.36 | 0.11 | .001 |
| NATIONALISM | -0.01 | 0.03 | .741 | -0.01 | 0.03 | .673 | -0.14 | 0.07 | .054 |
| Gender × NATIONALISM |  |  |  | -0.03 | 0.07 | .671 |  |  |  |
| Ethnic Minority × NATIONALISM |  |  |  |  |  |  | -0.28 | 0.14 | .052 |
| *Random* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | 0.34 |  |  | 0.34 |  |  | 0.34 |  |  |
| NATIONALISM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gender |  |  |  | 0.10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gender × NATIONALISM |  |  |  | 0.13 |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Note.* Gender coded: -0.5 men and 0.5 women. Age divided by ten. Ethnic minority coded: -0.5 not ethnic minority, 0.5 ethnic minority. Only models with the most complex and non-singular random effect structure are presented. | | | | | | | | | |

| Table S22 (Continues on the next page)  *Parameter Estimates from Binomial Logit Multilevel Mixed Effects Models Predicting Childlessness with salience of anti-establishment and anti-elite rhetoric (ANTIELITE\_SALIENCE)* | | | | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | *Main effect* | | | *Gender moderation* | | | *Ethnic minority moderation* | | |
| Parameter | Est | *SE* | *p* | Est | *SE* | *p* | Est | *SE* | *p* |
| *Fixed* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | -1.28 | 0.10 | < .001 | -1.29 | 0.10 | < .001 | -1.28 | 0.10 | < .001 |
| Gender | -0.31 | 0.04 | < .001 | -0.29 | 0.06 | < .001 | -0.31 | 0.04 | < .001 |
| Age | -0.55 | 0.01 | < .001 | -0.55 | 0.01 | < .001 | -0.55 | 0.01 | < .001 |
| Ethnic minority | -0.27 | 0.10 | .010 | -0.27 | 0.10 | .008 | -0.27 | 0.10 | .010 |
| ANTIELITE\_SALIENCE | 0.01 | 0.04 | .725 | 0.01 | 0.04 | .805 | -0.06 | 0.08 | .470 |
| Gender × ANTIELITE\_SALIENCE |  |  |  | -0.06 | 0.06 | .322 |  |  |  |
| Ethnic Minority × ANTIELITE\_SALIENCE |  |  |  |  |  |  | -0.15 | 0.15 | .321 |
| *Random* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | 0.34 |  |  | 0.34 |  |  | 0.34 |  |  |
| ANTIELITE\_SALIENCE | 0.08 |  |  | 0.07 |  |  | 0.07 |  |  |
| Gender |  |  |  | 0.09 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gender × ANTIELITE\_SALIENCE |  |  |  | 0.10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Note.* Gender coded: -0.5 men and 0.5 women. Age divided by ten. Ethnic minority coded: -0.5 not ethnic minority, 0.5 ethnic minority. Only models with the most complex and non-singular random effect structure are presented. | | | | | | | | | |

| Table S22 (Continued from the previous page)  *Parameter Estimates from Binomial Logit Multilevel Mixed Effects Models Predicting Childlessness with salience of anti-establishment and anti-elite rhetoric (ANTIELITE\_SALIENCE)* | | | | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | *West vs. post-communist moderation* | | |  | | |  | | |
| Parameter | Est | *SE* | *p* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Fixed* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | -1.36 | 0.11 | < .001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gender | -0.31 | 0.04 | < .001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | -0.56 | 0.01 | < .001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ethnic minority | -0.27 | 0.10 | .009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ANTIELITE\_SALIENCE | 0.05 | 0.03 | .119 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| West vs. post-communist | -0.29 | 0.20 | .154 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| West vs. post-communist × ANTIELITE\_SALIENCE | 0.18 | 0.07 | .009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Random* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | 0.34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ANTIELITE\_SALIENCE | 0.04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Note.* Gender coded: -0.5 men and 0.5 women. Age divided by ten. Ethnic minority coded: -0.5 not ethnic minority, 0.5 ethnic minority. West vs. post-communist coded: -0.5 West-Europe, 0.5 post-communist. Only models with the most complex and non-singular random effect structure are presented. | | | | | | | | | |

| Table S23  *Parameter Estimates from Binomial Logit Multilevel Mixed Effects Models Predicting Childlessness with salience of reducing political corruption (CORRUPT\_SALIENCE)* | | | | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | *Main effect* | | | *Gender moderation* | | | *Ethnic minority moderation* | | |
| Parameter | Est | *SE* | *p* | Est | *SE* | *p* | Est | *SE* | *p* |
| *Fixed* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | -1.28 | 0.10 | < .001 | -1.29 | 0.10 | < .001 | -1.29 | 0.10 | < .001 |
| Gender | -0.31 | 0.04 | < .001 | -0.29 | 0.06 | < .001 | -0.31 | 0.04 | < .001 |
| Age | -0.55 | 0.01 | < .001 | -0.55 | 0.01 | < .001 | -0.55 | 0.01 | < .001 |
| Ethnic minority | -0.28 | 0.10 | .008 | -0.28 | 0.10 | .008 | -0.28 | 0.10 | .007 |
| CORRUPT\_SALIENCE | 0.00 | 0.05 | .975 | -0.00 | 0.05 | .969 | -0.18 | 0.12 | .144 |
| Gender × CORRUPT\_SALIENCE |  |  |  | -0.07 | 0.08 | .357 |  |  |  |
| Ethnic Minority × CORRUPT\_SALIENCE |  |  |  |  |  |  | -0.38 | 0.24 | .107 |
| *Random* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | 0.34 |  |  | 0.34 |  |  | 0.34 |  |  |
| CORRUPT\_SALIENCE | 0.10 |  |  | 0.10 |  |  | 0.09 |  |  |
| Gender |  |  |  | 0.10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gender × CORRUPT\_SALIENCE |  |  |  | 0.08 |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Note.* Gender coded: -0.5 men and 0.5 women. Age divided by ten. Ethnic minority coded: -0.5 not ethnic minority, 0.5 ethnic minority. Only models with the most complex and non-singular random effect structure are presented. | | | | | | | | | |

| Table S24  *Parameter Estimates from Binomial Logit Multilevel Mixed Effects Models Predicting Childlessness with voting (voted / did not vote despite being eligible)* | | | | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | *Main effect* | | |  | | |  | | |
| Parameter | Est | *SE* | *p* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Fixed* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | -1.32 | 0.09 | < .001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gender | -0.45 | 0.03 | < .001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | -0.59 | 0.01 | < .001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ethnic minority | -0.38 | 0.08 | <.001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Voted | 0.02 | 0.04 | .539 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Random* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | 0.34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Note.* Gender coded: -0.5 men and 0.5 women. Age divided by ten. Ethnic minority coded: -0.5 not ethnic minority, 0.5 ethnic minority. Voting coded: 0.5 voted, -0.5 did not vote. Only models with the most complex and non-singular random effect structure are presented. | | | | | | | | | |

| Table S25  *Parameter Estimates from Binomial Logit Multilevel Mixed Effects Models Predicting Childlessness with Left-Right Ideological Self-Placement (LEFT-RIGHT SP; high scores indicate right)* | | | | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | *Main effect* | | |  | | |  | | |
| Parameter | Est | *SE* | *p* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Fixed* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | -1.26 | 0.10 | < .001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gender | -0.29 | 0.04 | < .001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | -0.56 | 0.01 | < .001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ethnic minority | -0.22 | 0.11 | .043 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LEFT-RIGHT SP | 0.00 | 0.03 | .892 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Random* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | 0.33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LEFT-RIGHT SP | 0.08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Note.* Gender coded: -0.5 men and 0.5 women. Age divided by ten. Only models with the most complex and non-singular random effect structure are presented. | | | | | | | | | |

| Table S26  *Parameter Estimates from Binomial Logit Multilevel Mixed Effects Models Predicting Childlessness with “Government should reduce differences in income levels”(GINCDIF; reverse scored so that high scores indicate endorsement)* | | | | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | *Main effect* | | |  | | |  | | |
| Parameter | Est | *SE* | *p* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Fixed* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | -1.27 | 0.10 | < .001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gender | -0.31 | 0.04 | < .001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | -0.55 | 0.01 | < .001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ethnic minority | -0.26 | 0.10 | .013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GINCDIF | 0.01 | 0.02 | .712 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Random* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | 0.34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Note.* Gender coded: -0.5 men and 0.5 women. Age divided by ten. Only models with the most complex and non-singular random effect structure are presented. | | | | | | | | | |

| Table S27  *Parameter Estimates from Binomial Logit Multilevel Mixed Effects Models Predicting Childlessness with “Gays and lesbians free to live life as they wish” (FREEHMS; reverse scored so that high scores indicate endorsement)* | | | | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | *Main effect* | | |  | | |  | | |
| Parameter | Est | *SE* | *p* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Fixed* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | -1.28 | 0.10 | < .001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gender | -0.30 | 0.04 | < .001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | -0.56 | 0.01 | < .001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ethnic minority | -0.25 | 0.11 | .016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FREEHMS | 0.04 | 0.04 | .366 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Random* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | 0.34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FREEHMS | 0.08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Note.* Gender coded: -0.5 men and 0.5 women. Age divided by ten. Only models with the most complex and non-singular random effect structure are presented. | | | | | | | | | |

| Table S28  *Parameter Estimates from Binomial Logit Multilevel Mixed Effects Models Predicting Childlessness with “Immigrants make country worse or better place to live” (IMWBCNT; high scores indicate immigrants making country better)* | | | | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | *Main effect* | | |  | | |  | | |
| Parameter | Est | *SE* | *p* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Fixed* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | -1.29 | 0.10 | < .001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gender | -0.31 | 0.04 | < .001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | -0.56 | 0.01 | < .001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ethnic minority | -0.29 | 0.11 | .007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IMWBCNT | 0.06 | 0.04 | .119 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Random* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | 0.33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IMWBCNT | 0.09 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Note.* Gender coded: -0.5 men and 0.5 women. Age divided by ten. Only models with the most complex and non-singular random effect structure are presented. | | | | | | | | | |

| Table S29  *Parameter Estimates from Binomial Logit Multilevel Mixed Effects Models Predicting Childlessness with “Better for a country if almost everyone shares customs and traditions” (PPLSTRD; reverse scored so that high scores indicate endorsement)* | | | | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | *Main effect* | | |  | | |  | | |
| Parameter | Est | *SE* | *p* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Fixed* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | -1.29 | 0.10 | < .001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gender | -0.32 | 0.04 | < .001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | -0.56 | 0.01 | < .001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ethnic minority | -0.28 | 0.10 | .007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PPLSTRD | -0.02 | 0.03 | .547 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Random* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | 0.34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PPLSTRD | 0.07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Note.* Gender coded: -0.5 men and 0.5 women. Age divided by ten. Only models with the most complex and non-singular random effect structure are presented. | | | | | | | | | |

| Table S30  *Parameter Estimates from Binomial Logit Multilevel Mixed Effects Models Predicting Childlessness with “How religious are you” (RLGDGR; high scores indicate “very religious”)* | | | | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | *Main effect* | | | *With GAL-TAN* | | |  | | |
| Parameter | Est | *SE* | *p* | Est | *SE* | *p* |  |  |  |
| *Fixed* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | -1.26 | 0.10 | < .001 | -1.25 | 0.10 | < .001 |  |  |  |
| Gender | -0.29 | 0.04 | < .001 | -0.28 | 0.04 | < .001 |  |  |  |
| Age | -0.55 | 0.01 | < .001 | -0.54 | 0.01 | < .001 |  |  |  |
| Ethnic minority | -0.23 | 0.11 | .030 | -0.21 | 0.11 | .051 |  |  |  |
| RLGDGR | -0.08 | 0.03 | .023 | -0.08 | 0.03 | .018 |  |  |  |
| GAL-TAN Salience |  |  |  | 0.04 | 0.04 | .305 |  |  |  |
| GAL-TAN |  |  |  | 0.03 | 0.03 | .299 |  |  |  |
| RLGDGR × GAL-TAN Salience |  |  |  | -0.01 | 0.05 | .864 |  |  |  |
| GAL-TAN × GAL-TAN Salience |  |  |  | -0.12 | 0.04 | .002 |  |  |  |
| *Random* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | 0.34 |  |  | 0.33 |  |  |  |  |  |
| RLGDGR | 0.07 |  |  | 0.07 |  |  |  |  |  |
| GAL-TAN Salience |  |  |  | 0.05 |  |  |  |  |  |
| RLGDGR × GAL-TAN Salience |  |  |  | 0.09 |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Note.* Gender coded: -0.5 men and 0.5 women. Age divided by ten. Only models with the most complex and non-singular random effect structure are presented. | | | | | | | | | |